



**Park**  
**gcanderson@netzero.net** to: LBryson  
Cc: haven, adrienneleederer, juliannaguy

03/11/2012 10:20 AM

Hi! Thank you for the clarifications. It always is nice to deal with truths instead of implied consents, resolutions, or newly proposed alignments.

I do not understand how a 4+ year conceptually multi jurisdictionally agreed upon main "collector arterial" that served as the heart and spine of the village, and was flanked on each side by townhouses, commercial/live/work condos, and some single family homes, became a ,possibly multilaned, and with little access bypass.

I really don't understand why the park's borders have become main targets for this unwanted bypass.

We are trying to build a pedestrian friendly neighborhood. Yet, we are encouraging traffic to zip through, possibly get gas at the corner, and ask its new residents to accommodate these autos.

We have been putting in speed bumps, bulbouts, and other traffic slowing methods in other neighborhoods. Why can't we get back to the arterial functioning like the Fountain district or Fairhaven? We could then access the park off a side street and be surrounded by residential instead of a fenced high volume bypass. I bet Ralph would be happy building one main road serving his village instead of 2.

Kathy Bell is welcome to come walk the property, so is Greg Accutt, and the new planning director. Everyone needs to have a complete understanding of the lay of the land before such a major decision is made.

Invite them up if you would like. Greg and I can disappear. We will do anything for the sake of education and awareness.

Cyndy

---

53 Year Old Mom Looks 33

The Stunning Results of Her Wrinkle Trick Has Botox Doctors Worried

<http://thirdpartyoffers.netzero.net/TGL3241/4f5cde7a3713b1daf18est06vuc>