

**Bellingham Police Department  
Use of Force Statistics  
February 2022**

*Bellingham*

**POLICE**

**Washington State Accredited Agency**

Your Bellingham Police Department maintains a commitment to our community by respecting the people we serve, acting with integrity and demonstrating accountability to those that we serve.

As entrusted guardians of our community, our interactions in the performance of our official duties sometimes brings us into conflict with members of society that fail to heed our community's wishes for order and peace. It is the policy of your police department that your officers may only use that amount of force when necessary under the totality of circumstances and when employed in the performance of a legal duty, using reasonable care. Your police department's use of force policies are consistent with the laws of the United States and the State of Washington. Your police department also strives to have policies that are consistent, with identified "best practices," and contemporary scientific discovery and application.

The components for constitutional policing are training, policy, and supervision. Your police department maintains the highest standards and attention to all three areas and will continue to be an agency that serves its community with commitment, vision, and values.

# SECTION ONE: Incident Information

<b>Total number of use of force incidents:</b>	<b>16 / 0.31%</b>	<b>YTD 33 / 0.32%</b>
• Incidents involving one officer:	2 / 13%	YTD 7 / 21%
• Incidents involving more than one officer:	14 / 88%	YTD 26 / 79%

According to the city's Computer-Aided Dispatch information from February 1<sup>st</sup> through February 28<sup>th</sup> of this year, your Bellingham Police officers responded to 4935 calls for service. These calls for service also included officer-initiated activities where an officer contacted someone, performed a traffic stop, or witnessed an incident and were investigating further.

For fans of numbers, this means that **0.32%, or a little more than one-quarter of one percent** of incidents in February resulted in your officers using lawful force against a citizen. (10,306 calls this year)

## Incident origin:

- Call for service from 911 or flagged down by citizen. 15 / 94% YTD 31 / 94%
- Self-initiated by officer(s) 1 / 6% YTD 2 / 6%

Put into perspective with the previous information, this means that in all 4935 calls for service that your police officers responded to in the month of February, only once did an officer's self-initiated contact end with your officers using lawful force against a citizen.

Numerically speaking, Officers used force after self-initiating contact in 0.02% of incidents in February.

## Reason for use of force:

- Active resistance to lawful commands 10 / 63% YTD 25 / 76%
- Actively aggressive 5 / 31% YTD 7 / 21%
- Assaultive 1 / 6% YTD 1 / 3%
- Life-threatening 0 / 0% YTD 0 / 0%

Your police officers are allowed by law to use force in the discharge of their duties.

As the information above has already shown, your police officers very rarely have to use force to accomplish their lawful objectives. The reasons listed above show that some citizens do not wish to submit to officers' lawful authority. How the citizens resist lawful actions of your officers is detailed hereafter, along with officers' responses.

## Citizen Resistance

• Barricaded	2 / 13%	YTD 2 / 6%
• Fled	5 / 31%	YTD 7 / 21%
• Hiding	0 / 0%	YTD 1 / 3%
• Physical resistance	12 / 75%	YTD 27 / 82%
• Refuse lawful commands	15 / 94%	YTD 31 / 94%

## Officers' Force Response

(16 events, 49 involved ofcs)

(YTD 33 events, 88 involved ofcs)

• Physical control(s)	32 / 200%	YTD 67 / 203%
• Physical impact(s)	0 / 0%	YTD 0 / 0%
• TASER display	2 / 13%	YTD 3 / 9%
• Verbal command	34 / 213%	YTD 64 / 194%
• Weapon pointing	1 / 6%	YTD 2 / 6%
• Restraints	0 / 0%	YTD 0 / 0%
• Less Lethal Shotgun	0 / 0%	YTD 0 / 0%
• Less Lethal Shotgun display	3 / 19%	YTD 6 / 18%
• K9 application	0 / 0%	YTD 1 / 3%
• TASER usage	0 / 0%	YTD 0 / 0%
• Vascular Neck Restraint	0 / 0%	YTD 0 / 0%
• Chemical Irritant	2 / 13%	YTD 2 / 6%
• Pursuit Immobilization Technique	0 / 0%	YTD 0 / 0%
• Firearm	0 / 0%	YTD 0 / 0%
• Impact Weapon	1 / 6%	YTD 1 / 3%

A citizens' resistance to lawful commands can take more than one form; sometimes your officers' response to these situations necessitates finding a solution that safely resolves the situation. For example, a citizen may refuse a lawful command, then flee on foot and ultimately resist physically when located. For that one incident, three specific items are documented. In the same manner, one of your officers may give a lawful verbal command. When that lawful command is disregarded, the officer, or other officers on scene, may need to employ a physical control technique such as using a "high-low" technique to stop a fleeing subject. Thus, two specific items are documented with multiple officers involved.

Of note, your officers noted that for this particular data set, verbal commands were disregarded by citizens in 15 of the 16 incidents (94%) wherein lawful force was used by your officers. Citizens were more likely to offer physical resistance to lawful commands after that. Your officers used authorized physical controls like grabbing arms for handcuffing or holding subjects from fleeing far more than deploying other modalities of force like TASERS or physical strikes.

In addition, do not forget that **0.32%, or a little more than one-quarter of one percent** of incidents in January resulted in your officers using lawful force against a citizen, so your police department using force to accomplish lawful objectives is a rarity.

Day of Week		Time of Day			
Sunday	2 / 13%	YTD 3 / 9%	0620 - 1020	1 / 6%	YTD 2 / 6%
Monday	4 / 25%	YTD 7 / 21%	1020 - 1620	3 / 19%	YTD 11 / 33%
Tuesday	2 / 13%	YTD 3 / 9%	1620 - 2020	3 / 19%	YTD 7 / 21%
Wednesday	3 / 19%	YTD 6 / 20%	2020 - 0300	9 / 56%	YTD 13 / 39%
Thursday	1 / 6%	YTD 2 / 6%	0300 - 0620	0 / 0%	YTD 0 / 0%
Friday	2 / 13%	YTD 5 / 15%			
Saturday	2 / 13%	YTD 7 / 21%			

## SECTION TWO: Involved Persons' Information

The data presented in this section will provide information on the citizens involved in your police department's use of force incidents, along with information on your police officers involved in those incidents.

**Injuries to Citizens due to Officers' force:                      2 / 13%                      YTD 6 / 18%**

For the month of February, your officers used lawful force **0.32%, or a little more than one-quarter of one percent** in the incidents they responded to. Of the 4935 incidents your officers responded to, two of those incidents responded to by your officers resulted in an injury from your officers using lawful force against a citizen. That means an injury in 0.04% of incidents.

**Citizens Transported to Hospital:                                      3 / 19%                                      YTD 8 / 24%**

- (1) emergent detention, (1) Required fit for jail examination not due to force used, (1) protective custody.

As noted above, your officers noted that in three incidents where lawful force was used on citizens the citizens were transported to St. Joseph's Hospital for medical care. Two citizens appeared to be having behavioral health issues that posed a danger to themselves or others; your police department strives to have mental health issues handled by the hospital, not the jail, and thus the citizens were taken to the hospital by your officers for the appropriate care.

**Injuries to Officers:** 2 / 13% YTD 5 / 15%

**Officers Transported to Hospital:** 0 / 0% YTD 0 / 0%

**Median Age of Citizen:** 34.5 years

- Youngest 21, Oldest 44

**Median Age of Officer:** 36 years

- Youngest 23, Oldest 54

Median ages were determined to be the best descriptor in these two categories, as the reader can gain a better appreciation for the more-likely ages rather than having an average age be skewed by outliers.

In addition, this information serves as a good reminder to the fact that your officers encounter folks from all age ranges in the performance of their official duties and sometimes encounter unlawful resistance from citizens, both younger and older.

### **Race of Citizen**

- White 13 / 81% YTD 25 / 76%
- Black or African American 0 / 0% YTD 1 / 3%
- American Indian or Alaska Native 3 / 19% YTD 6 / 18%
- Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander 0 / 0% YTD 0 / 0%
- Asian/Pacific Islander 0 / 0% YTD 1 / 3%

### **Ethnicity of Citizen**

- Hispanic or Latino Origin 0 / 0% YTD 3 / 9%
- Not of Hispanic or Latino Origin 16 / 100% YTD 30 / 91%
- Unknown 0 / 0% YTD 0 / 0%

### **Race of Officer**

- White 48 / 300% YTD 87 / 263%
- Black or African American 0 / 0% YTD 0 / 0%
- American Indian or Alaska Native 0 / 0% YTD 0 / 0%
- Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander 0 / 0% YTD 0 / 0%
- Asian/Pacific Islander 1 / 6% YTD 1 / 3%
- Incident totals 16 16

### **Ethnicity of Officer**

- Hispanic or Latino Origin 4 / 8% YTD 6 / 18%
- Not of Hispanic or Latino Origin 45 / 281% YTD 82 / 248%

- Unknown 0 / 0% YTD 0 / 0%

**Resident of Whatcom County / Bellingham?**

- Yes 15 / 94% YTD 29 / 88%
- No 1 / 6% YTD 4 / 12%

Statistical analysis of police use of force often has a discussion component concerning the population demographics of the involved citizens. The reader is cautioned to remember that from a statistical basis, comparing outlying events to population demographics often will not give the reader an accurate assessment of the situation.

For example, in the month of February, 94% of citizens that your officers used lawful force on were residents of either Whatcom County or the City of Bellingham.

However, do not forget that in the month of February your officers used lawful force in only **0.32%, or a little more than one-quarter of one percent** of incidents. So, these incidents are truly outliers and thus may not adhere to population demographics. For example, three people of American Indian or Alaska Native ancestry had lawful force used against them in order to achieve lawful objectives in February, or **0.06%, or around six one-hundredths of one percent** of the responses to incidents by your police department that month; this is nowhere near the city demographic.

**Sex of Citizen**

- Male 15 / 88% YTD 15 / 88%
- Female 2 / 12% YTD 2 / 12%

**Sex of Officer. Total: 39**

- Male 45 / 281% YTD 81 / 245%
- Female 4 / 25% YTD 7 / 21%

**Considerations for Citizen's Actions**

- Alcohol use perceived 3 / 19% YTD 9 / 27%
- Mental health issue perceived 7 / 44% YTD 16 / 48%
- Drug use perceived 5 / 31% YTD 11 / 33%
- Combination 5 / 31% YTD 10 / 33%
- Nothing perceived 6 / 38% YTD 8 / 24%

This information was provided by your police department to better inform the community we serve about use of force incidents. Your officers are empowered by the laws of the United States and the State of Washington to lawfully use force when necessary under the totality of circumstances and when employed in the performance of a legal duty, using reasonable care. This authority is viewed as an awesome responsibility to our community and your officers wish to be transparent so that our community understands that, although a statistical rarity, sometimes your officers have to lawfully gain compliance in the performance of their official duties.

Future information will also display the year-to-date information so that the community maintains a trusting relationship towards their police department in the topic of police use of force.

Should you have any questions, comments, or concerns please do not hesitate to contact Lieutenant Jay Hart at [jhart@cob.org](mailto:jhart@cob.org) or 360-778-8678.