1 2024 FEB 23 P 4:00 2 3 4 5 6 THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON 7 IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF WHATCOM 8 24 2 00355 37 9 CITY OF BELLINGHAM, a municipal 10 corporation, COMPLAINT FOR WARRANT OF ABATEMENT OF PUBLIC 11 Plaintiff. NUISANCE AND FOR INJUNCTIVE 12 RELIEF ٧. 13 LI-CHING FANG. LEE GROCHMAL 14 Defendant. 15 16 17 I. PARTIES AND JURISDICTION 18 Plaintiff, City of Bellingham ("City"), is a municipal corporation of the 19 1.1 first class organized and existing under the laws of the State of Washington and 20 21 doing business in Bellingham, Whatcom County, Washington. 22 Defendant, Li-Ching Fang, is the owner of the property located in 1.2 23 Bellingham, Washington legally described as follows: 24 N 1/2 SE NW / Parcel No. 3803071973630000 ("Property") 25 This Court has jurisdiction over the parties because the Property is 26 1.3 located in Whatcom County. Venue is proper in Whatcom County Superior Court. 27 28

COMPLAINT FOR WARRANT OF ABATEMENT OF PUBLIC NUISANCE AND FOR INJUNCTIVE RELIEF - 1

City of Bellingham
CITY ATTORNEY
210 Lottie Street
Bellingham, Washington 98225
Telephone (360) 778-8270

II. FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 2.1 Defendant, Li-Ching Fang, is prohibited from maintaining a nuisance on her property, pursuant to the Bellingham Municipal Code and state law.
- 2.2 Unhoused persons have established a large encampment on the Property. An estimated 50 to 150 unhoused persons are currently living on the Property in the encampment. These unhoused persons are trespassing upon the property. Many of them suffer from drug addiction as evidenced by the presence of drugs and drugs paraphernalia on the Property.
- 2.3 On or about November 16, 2022, Zachary Miles, Code Enforcement Officer for the City of Bellingham, inspected the Property. Officer Miles found that the property was in violation of the City's Nuisance Code, Bellingham Municipal Code ("BMC") 10.28.020, and state law under RCW 7.48. Officer Miles issued a Notice of Violation (Notice) to the property owner on November 22, 2022. The Notice identified numerous violations of the nuisance code. The overall condition of the property annoys, injures, or endangers the safety, health, comfort, or repose of the public; offends public decency; and renders the public insecure in life or in the use of property.
- 2.4 The Property is overrun with garbage, refuse, waste material, non-operating motor vehicles, and litter. Over one hundred stolen shopping carts litter the Property. The Property is unsafe, unsanitary, and detrimental to the neighboring businesses and the public at large.

- 2.5 Drug contaminated trash, litter, and garbage also contaminate the Property and are a detriment to the health of nearby residents, businesses, and the community at large.
- 2.6 The Property is subject to stringent environmental regulations as a "critical area," as defined by BMC 16.55.510. Lower Spring Creek traverses the Property from north to south and wetlands cover most of the Property. Garbage, refuse, waste, drug contaminated materials, and human waste from the encampment threaten to pollute this "critical area."
- 2.7 Substantial clearing of trees and vegetation occurred on the Property without the necessary permits or approval of the City. Unhoused persons have cut down trees to be used as firewood and for building materials. Additionally, unhoused persons have erected unlawful structures of plywood and plastic on the Property.
- 2.8 The Property lies directly to the east of the Tullwood Apartments.

 Residents of the Tullwood Apartments encounter trash, litter, and garage emanating from the Property on a daily basis. The apartment residents also endure offensive odors of drug use and burning trash coming from the Property.
- 2.9 The Property lies southeast of the Bellingham Wal-Mart. Wal-Mart employees similarly encounter trash, litter, and garage being dumped on to Wal-Mart property from the Property on a daily basis. As the encampment on the Property has grown over time, theft and other property crimes have increased at the nearby Wal-Mart.

2.10 The Bellingham Municipal Code 10.28 outlines the process for the City to declare properties a public nuisance. The City followed that process by notifying Defendant by letter at her listed address on the Assessor's website and email on November 22, 2022, that her property was declared a nuisance. The Defendant's brother-in-law, who identified himself only as Albert, acknowledged receipt of the letter. Albert explained that the Defendant cannot communicate in English, and he stated he could communicate with the City on the Defendant's behalf.

2.11 The Defendant was given seven (7) days to abate the nuisance on her property or appeal the nuisance declaration pursuant to BMC 10.28.030. The Defendant failed to take any steps to abate the nuisance or appeal the notice of violation within seven days. The Defendant has taken no steps to abate the nuisance since that time.

2.12 Conditions on the property have deteriorated further since the notice in 2022. Three unhoused persons trespassing on the property have died from opioid overdoses. Law enforcement agencies were called out to the property 236 times between June 1, 2021 and October 31, 2023. 45 arrests were made at the Property during that timeframe. Law enforcement agencies also executed a search warrant on the Property on August 31, 2023, and discovered four firearms, drugs, and 13 dogs. Body worn camera footage from the execution of the search warrants reveals the nuisance issues from Officer Mile's Notice remain and have not been abated.

1	3.6 Pursuant to BMC Section 10.28.020, a public nuisance is defined as						
2	permitting conditions that annoy, injure, or endanger the safety, health, comfort, o						
3	repose of the public; offend public decency; and renders the public insecure in life						
4 5	or in the use of property. Specific instances of public nuisances are identified in						
6	BMC 10.28.030.						
7	3.7 The City has no other adequate remedy at law, and the issues						
8	presented in this action frame an actual and existing controversy between the						
9	parties.						
10	3.8 The condition of the Property constitutes a nuisance under BMC						
11 12	10.28.020 because it annoys, injures and endangers the safety, health, comfort						
13	and repose of the public. The condition of the property also offends public decency.						
14	Finally, the condition of the property has rendered the public insecure in the life and						
15	the use of their property.						
16 17	3.9 The condition of the Property violates numerous provisions of the						
18	BMC. These violations include:						
19							
20	Title 10 - Criminal Code BMC 10.28.020(D) - Storage of non-operating motor vehicle visible from a public						
21	place						
22	those which are permitted by law, which unreasonably disturb, or which are detrimental to the health or safety of, the persons rising or working nearby, or the						
23	public BMC 10.60.120 - Deposit of litter on private property prohibited						
24 25	BMC 10.60.130 - Property owner's duty to keep premises litter-free BMC 10.60.140 - Deposit of litter on vacant lots prohibited						
26	Title 16 Environment						
27	$\overline{BMC\ 16.55.00(A)}$ – No clearing or removal of vegetation in critical areas $\overline{BMC\ 16.60.080(A)(1)}$ – No clearing or removal of vegetation on undeveloped						
28	parcels.						

COMPLAINT FOR WARRANT OF ABATEMENT OF PUBLIC NUISANCE AND FOR INJUNCTIVE RELIEF - 6

3.10	Pursuant	to	BMC	10.28.030,	BMC	16.60.090,	and
Chapter 7.48	RCW, the	City is	entitled	to the issuance	e of a Wa	arrant of Abate	ement,
				t's property loo			
				/ashington, by			
the nuisance	es by any n	neans	necess	ary. Without li	miting th	ne generality	of the
				all authorize th			
enter the p	roperty to r	emove	e the ille	egal encampn	nent, inc	cluding: remo	val of
trespassers;	removal an	d imm	nediate d	lisposal of all	personal	property, gai	rbage,
waste, and	contaminate	ed so	ils; rem	oval of non-o	perating	vehicles; an	d the
placement of	f clean soils	and re	evegetati	on.			

B. Injunctive Relief

- 3.6 The City realleges and incorporates by reference the allegations set forth in paragraphs 1.1 through 3.10 above.
- 3.7 Pursuant to Chapter 7.40.020 RCW, this court may issue an injunction to prohibit Defendant from creating or maintaining a nuisance on her properties.
- 3.8 The City is entitled to such an injunction to ensure Defendant's properties remain free of any nuisances.

IV. PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for judgment against Defendant as follows:

4.1 That the Defendant be permanently enjoined and restrained from maintaining the above-described nuisance conditions on the property under his

ownership and/or control located at N 1/2 SE NW / Parcel No. 3803071973630000, Bellingham, Washington.

4.2 For a Warrant of Abatement issued to the City or its sub-contractor, authorizing the City and/or its sub-contractor to enter onto the premises of N 1/2 SE NW / Parcel No. 3803071973630000, Bellingham, Washington, by force if necessary, to abate the nuisances. The City requests that the Warrant of Abatement order the Defendant to refrain from any conduct which might deter or interfere with the efforts of the City or its sub-contractor in so entering and abating the nuisance, and order the City to take all measures reasonable and necessary to abate the nuisances. The City further requests that the Warrant of Abatement authorize: removal of trespassers; removal and immediate disposal of all personal property, garbage, waste, and contaminated soils; and the placement of clean soils and revegetation.

4.3 For an order declaring Defendant legally responsible and liable for, and hold harmless, indemnify and defend the City from all aspects of the ownership, repair, construction and maintenance of Defendant's property while the Court order is in effect, including but not limited to claims or liabilities for construction or other costs required to abate the nuisance, claims for breach of abatement contracts, utilities, maintenance, taxes, or any other costs or liabilities which have or may become due in relation to Defendant's property, to the same extent as if such declaration had not been entered, which responsibilities shall be completely carried out in a timely manner by Defendant.

1	4.4	For judgment against Defendant for the City's costs of abatement,						
2	reasonable attorney's fees, and taxable Court costs as provided in RCW 7.48, and							
3	an order assessing such costs as a lien against Defendant's property.							
4	4.5	For an order that the City be exempt from providing a bond, pursuant						
5 6	to RCW 4.9	2.080.						
7	4.6	For an Order granting injunctive relief prohibiting Defendant from						
8	creating or maintaining a nuisance on his properties described herein.							
9	4.7	For such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.						
10	4.8	The City respectfully requests that this Court retain jurisdiction and,						
11	to the extent necessary, to ensure and enforce compliance with its orders issued							
12 13	in this matter.							
14	III (IIIS IIIatte							
15	Respectfully submitted this _13th_ day of February, 2024.							
16								
17		CITY OF BELLINGHAM						
18		/m/A						
19 20		Michael E. Good, WSBA #44857						
20		Assistant City Attorney						
22								
23								
24								
25								
26								
27								
28								